Accuracy assessment of an integrated profiling technique (IPT) for temperature, humidity and liquid water content profiles Ulrich Löhnert¹, Erik van Meijgaard², Henk Klein Baltink², Reinout Boers², Silke Groß¹

Meteorologisches Institut der Universität München ¹ Meteorological Institute, University of Munich ²KNMI, DeBilt, the Netherlands Contact: Dr. Ulrich Löhnert, uli@meteo.physik.uni-muenchen.de



Assessment test-bed: Regional climate Motivation: operational profiling by ground-based remote sensing (RS) model (RCM) used to simulate "true" state NWP and climate modelers require continuous profile measurements of the atmospheric thermodynamic state for model evaluation and initialization. "True state" of T, q and LWC **Radiave transfer** prognostic variables (RCM integration) model (RT) Ground-based remote sensing stations (GRSS) equipped with a microwave profiler (MWP), a cloud radar and a lidar-ceilometer possess the potential of continuously profiling temperature (T), humidity (q) and liquid water content (LWC). The IPT combines such measurements together with detailed Simulated a priori information within an optimal estimation simulate measurements of accuracy based retrieval scheme. a priori assessment cloud radar and MWP Motivating guestions: How accurate are GRSS T & q profiles w.r.t. radiosondes? How accurate are LWC profiles? How important is the a priori knowledge? What type of a priori is needed for optimal retrieval performance? IPT **Retrieved state** application T_{ret}, q_{ret} and LWC_{ret} Can operationally implemented GRSS complement the existing radiosonde network? Advantages of regional climate model test-bed: exact evaluation of all parameters (especially LWC!), no systematic errors due to microwave absorption & instrument calibration →physically consistent system Can GRSS replace the role of radiosondes (e.g. in remote areas)? IPT accuracies: the T & q a priori consist of IPT accuracies: the T & q a priori consist of the latest available operational radiosonde, the latest available operational radiosonde, launched at remote sensing site launched at X km distance of remote sensing site Description IPT (der) RMS is the a priori IPT (calc) IPT (der) Description theortical error given blue: a priori RMS red: IPT RMS by the IPT method The shown results are <u></u> 6 Ē reg the accuracies averaged The reg algorithm is Height Height dark green: a priori BIAS over the lowest 4 km of empirical: based on light green: IPT BIAS the profile linear regression The x-axis shows the Discussion distance between RS site 0.0 blue minus red RMS lines indicate the 0.5 1.0 1.5 Temp, RMS error (K) 2.0 0.0 1.0 1.5 RMS error fam² 0.5 Hum 2.0 and radiosonde site blue minus red bars information gain through RS, which is indicate the information gain (IG) through RS observed up to 4km (average: \dot{T} : ~0.4K, q: ~0.4gm⁻³) Discussion [km] Ê accuracy is best at small Height leight Similarity of IPT 2.5 distances, however IG is (calc) and IPT (der) enhanced at greater indicate satisfactory distances 2.0 retrieval performance For both T & q, RMS 0 -1.0 -0.5 0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 Temp. BIAS error [K] 0 -1.0 -0.5 Hu 0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 m. BIAS error [gm²] accuracies show "saturation" effect around 400km (1.0K / $0.7gm^{-3}$) \rightarrow as accurate IPT outperforms reg **IPT accuracies:** the cloudy skies → as statistical a priori LWC, in-cloud humidity & in-cloud temperature IPT can, to a certain as a function of height above cloud base degree, minimize BIAS errors contained in the a priori LWC: IPT RMS error (calc), IPT and a priori RMS IPT and a priori RMS theoretical error (*der*) and RMS error of simple Z-LWC & BIAS errors for in-& BIAS errors for incloud temperature cloud humidity relation scaled with µwave IPT RMS behavior IPT RMS behavior **Conclusions and implications** derived LWP; also: mean LWC profile for orientation very similar (~ 0.7K very satifactory (~ 0.5gm⁻³ average) on average) as in On average, IPT values are due to saturation the clear & cloudy GRSS can provide continuous profiles of T & q with accuracies better than 1.1K, respectively $0.7 gm^{-3}\,\text{on}$ average in the lowest 4km. 17% more accurate than constraint cases scaled Z-LWC values a priori BIAS: cloudy Reasons for positive The information gain through RS can be as high as 3K and 1gm⁻³. BIAS (a priori & IPT) cases contain more 1000 800 800 400 IPT T & q performance can be significantly improved if operational radiosonde profiles launched within a 400km radius of the RS site are moisture than clear red: IPT (calc) green: IPT (der) magenta: Z-LWC have not yet been identified cases on average used as a priori. red: IPT dotted: E bold: RM 29 40 60 80 100 20 40 60 80 10 In-cloud IPT T & q performance is as accurate as outside the cloud. 400 400 200 1 600 M Adequately equipped GRSS allow, in contrast to radiosondes, the continuous retrieval of LWC profiles with accuracies of 30% on average. 1990 800 800 400 200 0 **** *** *** Once installed a GRSS can complement an existing radiosonde network \mathbf{b} 1200 1200 400 200 0.0 by adding extra spatial and temporal information. A In a dense radiosonde network (100-200km), GRSS may be able to 20 40 60 80 10 replace existing radiosonde launch sites; overall accuracies of 0.5K and 0.5gm⁻³ seem possible. 1200 1000 800 600 400 1200 5000 800 800 800 Ð Need further studies to quantify possible systematic retrieval errors due 1 to microwave absorption uncertainty!