Synergy benefit in temperature, humiditiy and cloud property profiling by integrating ground based and satellite measurements

Ebell¹, K., E. Orlandi¹, A. Hünerbein², S. Crewell¹ and U. Löhnert¹

IR spectr

¹ Institute of Geophysics and Meteorology, University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany, kebell@meteo.uni-koeln.de² Leibniz-Institute for Tropospheric Research, Leipzig, Germany

Motivation

Context:

- > ground-based measurements in the microwave (MW) and infrared (IR) spectrum give information on the temperature (T) and humidity (q) profile of the lower troposphere
- > satellite measurements provide complementary information

Synergy benefit

➤ use synthetic observations of state-of Fig. 1: Ground and satellite based sensors used in the-art ground based this study for T and q profiling together with and satellite passive temperature jacobians for the AMSU-A and HATPRO MW and IR sensors frequencies. in order to assess the synergy benefit in clear-sky T and g profiling

Key questions:

al DOF

> How much T and g information is added by further ground-based and satellite sensors to the information of ground based MW radiometer (MWR) measurements?

AMSTLA

> Do the results depend on the atmospheric situation?

Fig. 3: Synergy benefit in terms of additional DOF compared to

HATPRO-only retrieval in the T (left) and q profile (right). Median

(line in box), 0.25 and 0.75 quantiles (box boundaries), minimum and

profile sample

69-200 hPa

200-500 hPa

++

800 hPa

фф

-++

>800 hPa

2. Experiment setup

Strategy:

Fig. 4: Same as Fig. 3 except for the synergy benefit in terms

of reduction of uncertainty (in %) in the T (left) and g profile

(right) compared to the HATPRO-only retrieval.

> 1D-Var approach to retrieve an atmospheric profile **x=[T, q]** from the observation **y**:

optimal estimation equation [1]

 $\mathbf{x}_{i+1} = \mathbf{x}_i + \left(\mathbf{K}_i^T \mathbf{S}_e^{-1} \mathbf{K}_i + \mathbf{S}_a^{-1}\right)^{-1} \times \left[\mathbf{K}_i^T \mathbf{S}_e^{-1} \left(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}_i\right) + \mathbf{S}_a^{-1} \left(\mathbf{x}_a - \mathbf{x}_i\right)\right] , \mathbf{K}_i = \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}_i)}{\partial \mathbf{x}_i}$

> calculation of the posterior error covariance matrix S and the degrees of freedom for signal (DOF), i.e. number of independent pieces of information from v:

posterior error degrees of freedom for signal

 $\mathbf{S} = (\mathbf{K}^T \mathbf{S}_{+}^{-1} \mathbf{K} + \mathbf{S}_{+}^{-1})^{-1}$ DOF = trace(A) with $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{S} \cdot (\mathbf{K}^T \mathbf{S}_{+}^{-1} \mathbf{K} + \mathbf{S}_{+}^{-1})^{-1}$

> assuming optimal retrieval performance, the maximum information content is estmated

Sensor	Frequency, Wavenumber/-length	# obs	Noise min/max	Forward model for K calculation
MWR HATPRO	22.24-31.4, 54.94-58 GHz (zenith + elev. scans)	34	0.1/0.2 K	PAMTRA [2]
AERI	538-1354 cm ⁻¹	390	1.8/0.25 RU	LBLRTM [3]
IASI	675-1350 cm ⁻¹	554	0.23/0.43 RU	LBLRTM [3]
SEVIRI	6.2-13.4 μm	7	0.1/0.37 K	RTTOV [4]
AMSU-A	23.8, 31.4, 50.3-57.617, 89 GHz	15	0.3/1.2 K	PAMTRA [2]
MHS	89., 157., 184.311,186.311, 190.311 GHz	5	0.22/0.51 K	PAMTRA [2]

Table 2: Sensors and channels used in this study. Since measurement noise

depends on the channel, values are given as min/max. RU is mW/(m² sr cm⁻¹).

 \succ error covariane matrix **S**_e includes typical random instrument noise and forward model parameter uncertainties due to uncertainties in trace das concentrations (CH₄, N₂O, O₂) and in surface emissivity

Prior T and g information:

- \succ climatological mean profile (\mathbf{x}_{a}) and corresponding S, derived from 8vear data set of 4854 clear-sky radiosonde ascents in Lindenberg. Germany
- > analysis is performed for a subset of 98 profiles representing the interannual variability of the atmospheric conditions in Lindenberg



Fig. 2: Histograms for IWV (a) and T index (b) for the whole data set and for the selected subset. The T $\sum_{1 \ge bm} \frac{1 \ge bm}{T(z) - \overline{T}(z)} / \sigma(z)$ index is defined as

4. Conclusions and outlook

- IASI and AMSU-A/MHS increase the T information by a factor of 1.8 and 1.5, respectively, with highest benefit in warm and/or humid conditions
- highly spectrally resolved IR observations from ground or space improve the vertical information on g especially in dry and cold situations, i.e. DOF more than tripled compared to the ground based MWR-only retrieval
- satellite measurements significantly reduce retrieval uncertainties in the middle and upper troposphere
- ongoing studies to assess the ground based and satellite synergy in the retrieval of cloud properties
- application to real observations of the Jülich ObservatorY for Cloud Evolution (JOYCE)

Acknowledgements

This work has been funded by the German Research Foundation within the project ICOS - Integrating Cloud Observations from Ground and Space under grant CR111/8-1 and the project Using the HALO Microwave Package (HAMP) for cloud and precipitation research under grant CR111/9-1. We acknowledge the German Weather Service for providing the adiosonde data. The authors thank Dr. David D. Turner for assisting in the radiative transfer calculations References: Ebell, K., E. Orlandi, A. Hünerbein, U. Löhnert and S. Crewell. Combining Ground and Satellite Based Measurements in the Atmospheric State Retrieval: Assessment of the Information Content, submitted to J. Geophys. Res. (1) Rodgers, C.D. (2000). Inverse Methods for Almospheric Sounding: Theory and Practice, World Scientific, 238 pp. (2) Mech, M., Orlandi, E., Crewelj, S., Ament, F. & Hirsch, L. (2012). HAMP - the Microwave Package on the High Altitude and LOng Range Aircraft HALO. manuscript in preparation [3] Clough, S.A. et al. (2005). Atmospheric Radiative Transfer Modeling: a Summary of the AER Codes, Short Communication. J. Quant Spectrosc Radiat Transfer 91 233-244 [4] Saunders, R. W., Matricardi, M., & Brunel, P. (1999). An Improved Fast Radiative Transfer Model for Assimilation of Satellitik Radiances Observations. Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc. 125(556), 1407-1425.





Sensors:

DOF_{HATPRO}) as a function of T index and IWV

Table 2: Synergy factor (=DOF nsor comb /DOF_{HATPRO}) for different instrument combinations



scale factor of a priori stand, dev scale factor of a priori stand, dev