# Consistent calibration and data quality control of ground-based microwave radiometers for a network use

## Bernhard Pospichal, Ulrich Löhnert

#### University of Cologne, Germany





# Introduction

- Networks of ground-based remote sensing observations can be very valiable for model evaluation and assimilation
- Variety of operators and instrument types make coordinated measurements challenging
- Calibration and standardization necessary for comparison and common retrieved products







# **Microwave radiometers around the World**



- MWRnet is a network of ground-based MWR
- Set up of a loose network sharing knowledge, software, procedures, formats, calibration, quality control, etc.





# **Networks (Cloudnet/ACTRIS)**



> 15 Cloud remote sensing stations (cloud radar, ceilometer, Doppler lidar, MWR)

- Setup of ACTRIS (Aerosol, Cloud and Trace gas Research Infrastructure
- Network of operational MWR in Europe getting denser > combination with other instruments!
- Within ACTRIS, every Cloudnet station needs to have a MWR
- Data quality control is becoming more and more important



Network potential: what can we expect from ground-based MWR?

path-integrated cloud liquid water (LWP) (essential for Cloudnet)

5

continuous data: resolution of seconds to minutes

temperature profile of the PBL, low resolution profile above



low resolution water vapor profile, but excellent pathintegrated values



level1: brightness temperatures (TB)  $\rightarrow$  calibration dependent level2: atmospheric products  $\rightarrow$  forward model and retrieval dependent

5

# **Example: Cloudnet liquid water statistics**



PDFs of cloud adiabaticity depend highly on accurate LWP from microwave radiometer

Different statistics due to local climate or data quality issues?

Common calibration and retrieval development needed!

Statistics over many years of Cloudnet obs.,

only single-layer non-drizzling and purely liquid clouds chosen

7

# **Ground-based microwave radiometers**

#### **Products & Benefits**

- Integrated properties (Liquid water path LWP / Integrated Water Vapor IWV)
- Temperature (T) & humidity profiles (WV)
- Continuous long-term, unmanned observations on temporal scales down to seconds → fill gaps between radiosondes
- Measurements during both cloudy and clear air
- Price, commercial availability

#### **Limitations & Challenges**

- Limited vertical resolution (2-4 deg. of freedom), declines with height
- Coordinated networks
- Calibration
- Absorption modeling
- Automatic data quality control (QC) systems







- 2 Calibration experiments J-CAL in 2014 (Lindenberg) and 2015 (Meckenheim) in the frame of COST-TOPROF >> Intercomparison of different MWR calibrations
- >> Recommendations for operation and calibration of MWR





# Central calibration facility for MWR within ACTRIS (jointly with manufacturers)

## Setting standards for existing network

- On-site training for calibration & operation
- Dissemination of calibration and operation procedures
  - Real-time calibration quality control
  - When is a calibration necessary?
  - Traceability: what calibrations to use, system parameters to store?
- Harmonized system uncertainty characterization
- Data processing chain (from brightness temperatures > automatic data quality control > retrieved produces

### **Evaluation of improved calibration approaches**

- Experiments, comparative campaigns
- Long-term monitoring



## **Jülich ObservatorY for Cloud Evolution**



#### Observation platform jointly operated by

- University of Cologne
   / Research Centre
   Jülich
- continuous
  monitoring of winds,
  temperature, water
  vapor, clouds, and
  precipitation over
  many years

http://joyce.cloud

11

# **Sources for MWR product** errors and uncertainties

- Random errors
  - Instrument noise
- Systematic errors
  - Calibration offsets
- Retrieval uncertainties
  - Non-representative data for retrieval training
  - Measurement process not modelled correctly (noise levels, etc.) \_\_\_\_
  - Forward model uncertainties

20 g/m<sup>2</sup> LWP, <0.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup> IWV, Tprof 0.3-1.5 K

- Automatic data quality control > filter low quality data
  - Spectral consistency check (detect single channel problems)
  - Thresholds for retrieved products



12

0.1-0.2 K TB 0.1-0.5 K TB

# **Quality monitoring of MWR**

Different ways to detect spurious data Brightness temperatures 22.24 GHz (blue), 51.26 GHz (red) 81.0 Goal: Common analysis of data quality, 80.5 standing by comparing to models, reference 80.0 wave BT [K] data (radiosonde, etc.) pattern at 79.5 calibration 79.0 78.5 78.0 5 0 10 15 20 4000 Time (minutes) 10 IWV 3000 offset as 00 UTC 5 12 UTC Höhe [m] a function offset [kg/m2] 2000 06 h 12 h of BT 18 h 0 24 h offset 30 h 1000 ≩ 36 h (Polarster 42 h -5 48 h n data 0 2 3 BIAS [K] STDEV [K] -10 -10 -5 0 5 10 comparison of HATPRO T-profiles vs. WRF BT 23 offset [K] forecasts (different lead times)

# New development in calibration load design for RPG-HATPRO instr.

- Uncertainties of liquid nitrogen (LN<sub>2</sub>) calibrations due to: standing wave effects, reflections, O<sub>2</sub> entrainment, condensation of water on cold surfaces,
- RPG has developed a non-reflecting LN<sub>2</sub> target which eliminates most of these effects > significant improvement in absolute accuracy (~0.1 K).
- TOPROF WG3 recommends updating the calibration equipment as soon as possible.

new design





# Comparison old/new load Experimental Setup





15

# Comparison old/new load Experimental Setup





16

# Comparison old/new load Experimental Setup



BSc thesis Tobias Böck (2018)

View on cold loads directly after LN2 calibration

blue: new target red: old target

Mean (bias) and noise (standard deviation) for a 2 minute period (1 second integration time)

new target mostly < 0.1K bias/RMS



## **Discussion of results**

Liquid nitrogen calibration						
v [GHz]	$n_{\rm LN_2}$	res	hot	α	total	
22.24	±0.7	±0.4	$\pm 0.1$	±0.04	$\pm 1.2$	
23.04	$\pm 0.7$	$\pm 0.8$	$\pm 0.1$	$\pm 0.04$	±1.6	
23.84	$\pm 0.7$	±0.2	$\pm 0.1$	$\pm 0.03$	$\pm 1.0$	
25.44	$\pm 0.7$	$\pm 0.1$	$\pm 0.1$	$\pm 0.03$	±0.9	
26.24	$\pm 0.7$	±0.3	$\pm 0.1$	$\pm 0.03$	$\pm 1.1$	
27.84	$\pm 0.7$	±0.2	$\pm 0.1$	$\pm 0.03$	$\pm 1.0$	
31.40	$\pm 0.7$	±0.2	$\pm 0.1$	$\pm 0.02$	$\pm 1.0$	
51.26	±0.6	±0.3	$\pm 0.1$	$\pm 0.03$	$\pm 1.0$	
52.28	$\pm 0.6$	$\pm 0.1$	$\pm 0.0$	$\pm 0.00$	$\pm 0.7$	
53.86	±0.4	$\pm 0.1$	$\pm 0.0$	$\pm 0.00$	$\pm 0.5$	
54.94	$\pm 0.1$	$\pm 0.0$	$\pm 0.1$	$\pm 0.00$	$\pm 0.2$	
56.66	$\pm 0.1$	$\pm 0.0$	$\pm 0.2$	$\pm 0.00$	$\pm 0.3$	
57.30	$\pm 0.1$	$\pm 0.0$	$\pm 0.2$	$\pm 0.01$	$\pm 0.3$	
58.00	±0.1	±0.0	$\pm 0.1$	$\pm 0.01$	$\pm 0.2$	
uncertainties of 0.9-1.5 K (K-Band)				remaining uncertainties		
0.1-0.9 K (V-Band)			of 0.1-0.2 K			
eliminated			(all channels)			

Main uncertainties for observed brightness temperatures that were discussed by Maschwitz et al. (2013) are eliminated by new target

- $n_{1N2}$  (uncertainties in LN2) refractive index) > OK now!
- res (uncertainties due to resonance effects, standing waves) > OK now!
- hot (hotload temperature uncertainties)
- α (uncertainties in receiver non-linearity)



# Summary

- Ground-based microwave radiometers become more and more widespread over the world, running 24/7
- For data assimilation and/or model evaluation, detailed error knowledge is vital
- We provide recommendations for MWR operation, calibration, retrieval development, and automated data quality control
- Progress in reducing absolute calibration uncertainties
- JOYCE-CF in Jülich will serve as reference center for MWR operation and provide standards



2(

## Thank you for your attention!

DANGER High voltag

bernhard.pospichal@uni-koeln.de