Recent developments in observing the Ny-Ålesund atmospheric column and beyond Kerstin Ebell, Marion Maturilli, Justus Notholt, Sandro Dahlke, Rosa Gierens, Mathias Palm, Denghui Ji, Christoph Ritter, Matthias Buschmann

## **1. Motivation**

- Continuous observations of the thermodynamic structure, clouds, aerosols, trace gases and radiative effects crucial for a better understanding of the Arctic atmosphere
- $\rightarrow$  exploit detailed information from the various remote-sensing and in-situ instrumentation at Ny-Ålesund, Svalbard
- Here, we highlight:

### 2. Chemical composition and origin of aerosols

#### Chemical Composition of Aerosols

• Sea salt and sulfate are significant in the aerosol events in Ny-Ålesund





 $\rightarrow$  recent developments in observing the Ny-Ålesund atmospheric column (sec. 2)

 $\rightarrow$  variability of atmospheric variables on the local scale (sec. 3)

 $\rightarrow$  large scale links (sec. 4)

# 3. Local variability of water vapor and cloud liquid water

### Seasonality

Microwave radiometer (MWR) measurements continuously since 2011

- Integrated water vapor (IWV) reaches highest values in summer
- Liquid water path (LWP) largest in late summer/early autumn (July-September),  $\bullet$ second maxima in late winter (February)





Fig. 4: Distributions of 10-min-mean values in each calendar month in 2012-2020 for a) integrated water vapor and b) liquid water path





*Fig. 1: The chemical composition derived from NYAEM-FT in 2020* 

#### Origin of Aerosols

- Backtrajectory analysis and lidar measurements on 10 June 2020 in Ny-Ålesund
- Aerosol signal is obvious in the boundary layer below 500 m
- Aerosols in the boundary layer mainly come from the local area, and that in free troposphere mainly came from Europe



#### **Spatial variability**

Evaluation of local scale variability in integrated water vapor and liquid water path utilizing MWR azimuth scans at 30° elevation angle

- $\rightarrow$  Local processes modifying IWV and LWP?  $\rightarrow$  Representativity?
- Atmospheric river event on 6 June 2017: rapid increase & decrease in IWV
- Increase (decrease) in IWV is seen first in S-SE direction, corresponding to the movement of the atmospheric river over Ny-Ålesund



Fig. 5: Top: Time series of integrated water vapor (zenith pointing measurements) for the atmospheric river event on 6 June 2017. Bottom: IWV anomaly at a given measured azimuth angle for each azimuth scan

## 5. Conclusions & Outlook

• Aerosol composition above Svalbard affected by local emissions and transport of emissions from Europe

Fig. 3: (a) 3 days backtrajectory (footprint) initiated on 10 June 2020 at Ny-Ålesund (500 m) (b) 20 days backtrajectory (footprint) initiated on

### 4. Large scale links

### **Regional Modulation of Amplified Warming**

The North Atlantic / Svalbard region is affected by atmospheric advection with seasonal dependence

- decrease in MCAO index in December and January appears to be related to the vertical structure of atmospheric warming rather than to circulation changes
- MCAO increase in March over Fram Strait related to trend in northerly winds  $\bullet$



- Atmospheric river passing over NYA can be seen in spatial distribution of humidity
- Fram Strait / Svalbard region: increasing MCAO trend in spring found

#### Perspectives

- Analysis of aerosols on other Arctic stations
- Evaluation of spatial patterns of moisture around Ny-Ålesund using long-term data
- Analysis of vertical fluxes during MCAO events + impact on atmospheric column
- Joint analysis of fluxes during MCAO effects: impact on ocean  $[\rightarrow CO4]$

#### REFERENCES

• Dahlke, S., A. Solbés, M. Maturilli (2021): Cold air outbreaks in Fram Strait: climatology, trends, and observations during an extreme season in 2020. JGR, under review

### **2** TRANSREGIONAL COLLABORATIVE RESEARCH CENTRE

#### COORDINATING UNIVERSITY



ArctiC Amplification: Climate Relevant Atmospheric and SurfaCe Processes, and Feedback Mechanisms





*Fig. 6: Surface pressure anomaly composite for the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile* of strongest Fram Strait MCAOs in March

University

of Cologr

a Θ [K] Specific Humidity [g/kg] 0.4 0.6 0.8 0.2 1 263.5 4500 263 262.5 2000 261.5 1000 260.5 -35 Temperature [°C]

Fig. 7: Zonal surface pressure gradient across Fram Strait (yellow dots in Fig. 6) for March, with linear trend using the Theil-Sen slope and uncertainty limits

> Fig. 8: (a) Backtrajectory initiated on 11 March 2020 at Ny-Ålesund (1800 m) linking with MOSAiC. The color-code indicates temperature, blue lines the sea ice edge.

*Radiosonde temperature* (b) (black) and specific humidity (red) measured at Ny-Ålesund (dashed lines) and MOSAiC (solid lines)

Figures 6-8 from Dahlke et al. (2021)

