Simulation of airborne radar measurements in the Arctic using weather models and an advanced forward operator

## Davide Ori, Vera Schemann

University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany

## 1. Motivation

1) Weather models are an essential component of the upcoming

HALO-AC3 campaign. Applications include better **flight planning** and actual interpretation of the collected data

2) Model domain and resolution needs to be optimized for best results

3) Forward operators are useful to simulate the expected measurements

Fig. 1: Schematics of the forward operator PAMTRA which implements the most advanced scattering methods. **Ensure consistency with the model** microphysical assumptions. Capable of simulating consistently active and passive microwave measurements.





# 2. Data and methods

#### <u>MEASUREMENTS</u>

**AFLUX** aircraft campaign (2019)

- 94 GHz MIRAC radar
- 14 days of measurements

#### MODELS

ICON (global) and IFS (+ PAMTRA)

- 13 km and 9 km horizontal grid spacing
- 1 mom bulk microphysics
- +18h to +28h forecast time



RT4 radiative transfer Radar simulator Nutput parameter Polarized brightness temperatures Radar Radar Full Doppler spectra

> Fig. 2: Contoured Frequency Altitude Diagram (CFAD) of measured and simulated reflectivity. The distribution of simulated **Z is well matched at the surface** (precipitation rate is good) but the models tend to predict **too low reflectivity at higher levels**.

# **3. High Resolution ICON**

Test added value of high resolution LES models.ICON-LEM 600m horizontal resolution

![](_page_0_Figure_26.jpeg)

- 10<sup>-1</sup>

10<sup>-2</sup> a

10-3

 $10^{-4}$ 

20

- 2mom bulk microphysics
- 1 test case 31-03-2019 cold outbreak

Fig. 2: Simulated, vertically integrated reflectivity (31-03-2019). The ICON-LEM 600m (2-mom microphysics) is compared with the operational ICON and IFS models (both 13 km resolution). The flight path is shown with a red line.

![](_page_0_Figure_31.jpeg)

Fig. 2: The radar measurements (top-left panel) are compared with the simulated radar reflectivity along the flight track. The higher resolution of the ICON-LEM (bottom-left) allows to see the horizontal variability of the clouds simulated reflectivity values are closer to the observations.

#### Results:

- Better resolved cloud organization
- Reflectivity values closer to measurements

## 4. Conclusions & Outlook

- The higher-resolution simulations allow for a better **representation of clouds**. The size of the simulation domain must be adapted to reduce the computational cost - The instrument forward simulations will help in the **flight planning** phase and in the post-mission **data interpretation** 

### Future work:

- Forward simulations are also expensive. Working on a LUT implementation for fast computations during the HALO-AC3 campaign

- Further experiments with high-resolution ICON to assess the statistical significance of the results

- Evaluate the weather simulations at longer forecast time that are relevant for flight planning

## TRANSREGIONAL COLLABORATIVE RESEARCH CENTRE

![](_page_0_Picture_43.jpeg)

ti**C A**mplification: nate Relevant **A**tmospheric and Surfa**C**e cesses, and Feedback Mechanisms

![](_page_0_Picture_45.jpeg)

HALO-SPP 1294: Understanding clouds and precipitation at the sub kilometer scale using HALO and ICON - Air mass transformations in the Arctic (UCP-Arctic)